

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE

9 KINSALE INSURANCE COMPANY, a
10 foreign insurer;

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 OCULUS ONE, LLC d/b/a OCULUS
14 SURVEILLANCE, a Washington limited
15 liability company, EVERGREEN MARKET
16 (RENTON NORTH), INC., a Washington for-
17 profit corporation, ZEBELUM ANNU EL, an
18 individual, MICHAUX RASHAD ERVIN a/k/a
19 ‘EARTHQUAKE’, an individual, DOE
20 CORPORATIONS 1-10, and PAT DOES 1-5,

21 Defendants.

22 No. 2:22-cv-1189

23 **COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY
24 RELIEF**

25 Plaintiff Kinsale Insurance Company (hereinafter “Kinsale”) submits the following
26 Complaint for Declaratory Relief.

27 **I. INTRODUCTION**

28 1.1 This is an insurance coverage action seeking declaratory relief pursuant to
29 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. Kinsale seeks a determination that it does not owe a duty to defend or
30 indemnify any and all named defendants under a policy of insurance issued to Oculus One, LLC
31 d/b/a Oculus Surveillance with respect to the claims involving them which arose out of an alleged
32 24

physical assault.

II. PARTIES

2.1 Kinsale is a foreign eligible surplus lines insurer organized under the laws of the state of Arkansas and headquartered in Richmond, Virginia.

2.2 Defendant Oculus One, LLC, d/b/a/ Oculus Surveillance (hereinafter “Oculus”), is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the state of Washington and is headquartered in Thurston County, Washington.

2.3 Defendant Evergreen Market, North Renton (hereinafter “Evergreen Market”) is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the state of Washington and is headquartered in King County, Washington.

2.4 Defendant Zebelum Annu-El, also known as Zebelum Willis (hereinafter “Mr. Annu-El”), is an individual who is, by knowledge and belief, a resident and citizen of the state of Washington.

2.5 Defendant Michaux Rashad Ervin, also known as “Earthquake” (hereinafter “Mr. Ervin”), is an individual who was, by knowledge and belief, a resident and citizen of the state of Washington at the time the incident giving rise to this matter took place.

2.6 Defendants Doe Corporations 1-10 are unknown entities that are alleged to have been at all times relevant and material hereto responsible for the hiring, retention, training, safety, and security, among other responsibilities, for those carrying out and patronizing business at the premises located at 409 Rainier Avenue North, Renton, Washington 98057 at the time the incident giving rise to this matter took place. Doe Corporations 1-10 may also include one or more of the Evergreen Entities.

2.7 Defendants Pat Does 1-5 are unknown individuals who are alleged to have been at

1 all times relevant and material hereto responsible for the hiring, retention, training, safety, and
2 security, among other responsibilities, for those carrying out and patronizing business at the
3 premises located at 409 Rainier Avenue North, Renton, Washington 98057 at the time the incident
4 giving rise to this matter took place.

5 **III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

6 3.1 This Court has jurisdiction over this claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 as the
7 amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs, and diversity amongst
8 the parties is complete.

9 3.2 Venue is proper with this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 as this matter involves
10 a claim for insurance coverage stemming from the alleged losses that occurred in King County,
11 Washington.

12 **IV. FACTS**

13 **A. Underlying Fact Pattern**

14 4.1 This matter arises out of an alleged physical assault which took place at Evergreen
15 Market's South Renton location at 409 Rainier Avenue North, Renton, Washington 98057 on or
16 about December 16, 2019 (hereinafter the "Underlying Incident").

17 4.2 Evergreen Market is a cannabis dispensary which sells cannabis products both in-
18 person and on the internet.

19 4.3 As alleged in the Underlying Claim, Mr. Annu-El arrived at the South Renton
20 Evergreen Market at approximately 6:30 PM to pick up an order he had made online.

21 4.4 After paying for and picking up his order, Mr. Annu-El allegedly returned to the
22 Evergreen Market shortly afterwards to contest the amount which he had been charged.

1 4.5 Upon returning to Evergreen Market, Mr. Annu-El walked to the store counter and
2 allegedly began arguing with the Evergreen Market store employee who had charged him for his
3 order.

4 4.6 At the time this argument was taking place, Mr. Ervin was a contracted employee
5 of Oculus One, who had been assigned to work as store security for Evergreen Market at Evergreen
6 Market's request and direction.

7 4.7 As the argument between Mr. Annu-El and the Evergreen Market employee
8 continued, Mr. Ervin allegedly approached the counter to monitor the situation and asked Mr.
9 Annu-El "if there was a problem."

10 4.8 Mr. Annu-El alleges that Mr. Ervin was physically much larger and stronger than
11 he was.

13 4.9 Despite Mr. Ervin's presence and intervention, Mr. Annu-El allegedly continued to
14 argue with the store employee and at one point "poked" her with his finger.

15 4.10 As the argument continued, Mr. Ervin allegedly took it upon himself to physically
16 remove Mr. Annu-El from the store.

17 4.11 As Mr. Ervin removed Mr. Annu-El from the store, Mr. Annu-El alleges that Mr.
18 Ervin threw him out of the doors of Evergreen Market in an uncontrolled manner and at full force,
19 resulting in Mr. Annu-El losing control of his body and falling onto the Evergreen Market parking
20 lot, hitting his head on the pavement.

22 4.12 Mr. Annu-El alleges that he was knocked unconscious by being thrown onto the
23 pavement and remained unconscious for approximately one minute.

1 4.13 Subsequently, Mr. Annu-El retained Seattle Injury Law as counsel, who then
2 submitted a letter of representation and a demand to Evergreen Market on January 11, 2021, to
3 preserve all evidence relating to the Underlying Incident.

4 4.14 To Kinsale's knowledge and belief, Evergreen Market subsequently tendered the
5 defense and indemnity in the Underlying Incident to Admiral Insurance Group (Admiral), its
6 insurer.

7 4.15 On March 10, 2021, Admiral, via Norcross Claims Services Company, submitted
8 tender on behalf of Evergreen Market to Kinsale as the insurer of Oculus One.
9

10 4.16 Upon receipt of claim, Kinsale promptly acknowledged the claim, opened a claims
11 file, and commenced an investigation of the Underlying Incident.

12 4.17 Subsequent to the Underlying Incident, Mr. Annu-El's counsel issued a demand to
13 Oculus One and to Evergreen Market on November 26, 2021, for damages resulting from injuries
14 and losses which Mr. Annu-El alleges resulted from the Underlying Incident.

15 **B. Damages Alleged By Defendant Zebelum Annu-El**

16 4.18 In his demand, Mr. Annu-El alleges that he suffered severe physical injuries which
17 are continuous and accruing, as well as wage losses, loss of earning capacity, loss and damage to
18 personal property, pain and suffering, and emotional distress.
19

20 4.19 In regard to his physical injuries, Mr. Annu-El specifically alleges that he suffered
21 recurring headaches, facial contusions, facial lacerations, concussion symptoms, post-concussive
22 symptoms, post-traumatic stress disorder, pain in his right knee, pain in his right leg, and cranial
23 micro-hemorrhaging as a result of Mr. Ervin's actions, and claims damages for same.
24

1 4.20 Mr. Annu-El further alleges that he has suffered wage losses and a loss of earning
2 capacity as a result of his alleged injuries suffered in the underlying incident, and claims damages
3 for same.

4 4.21 Mr. Annu-El further alleges that he incurred compensable transport costs related to
5 attending medical appointments and physical therapy sessions in connection to the injuries which
6 he allegedly sustained in the Underlying Incident.

7 4.22 Mr. Annu-El has stated that he intends to claim attorney's fees and costs accrued in
8 any potential suit filed arising out of the Underlying Incident.
9

10 4.23 In a demand letter sent to Kinsale on November 26, 2021, counsel for Mr. Annu-
11 El claimed Mr. Annu-El's special damages and related costs were approximately \$20,514.60, and
12 his general damages were in the amount of \$230,000.00, for a total demand of \$250,514.60 arising
13 from the Underlying Incident.

14 C. **The Kinsale Policy**

15 4.24 Kinsale issued a Commercial General Liability Policy to Oculus One under policy
16 number 0100068794-1, with a policy period of June 22, 2019, to June 22, 2020 (hereinafter the
17 "Kinsale Policy").

18 4.25 The Kinsale Policy contains the following Insuring Agreement that
19 provides coverage pursuant to the following terms and conditions:

20 **SECTION I – COVERAGES**

21 **COVERAGE A BODILY INJURY AND PROPERTY
22 DAMAGE LIABILITY**

23 **1. Insuring Agreement**

24 a. We will pay those sums that the insured becomes

1 legally obligated to pay as damages because of
2 "bodily injury" or "property damage" to which this
3 insurance applies. We will have the right and duty
4 to defend the insured against any "suit" seeking
5 those damages. However, we will have no duty to
6 defend the insured against any "suit" seeking
7 damages for "bodily injury" or "property damage" to
8 which this insurance does not apply. We may, at
9 our discretion, investigate any "occurrence" and
10 settle any claim or "suit" that may result. But:

11

- 12 (1) The amount we will pay for damages is
13 limited as described in Section **III – Limits
14 Of Insurance**; and
- 15 (2) Our right and duty to defend ends when we
16 have used up the applicable limit of insurance
17 in the payment of judgments or settlements
18 under Coverages **A** or **B** or medical expenses
19 under Coverage **C**.

20 No other obligation or liability to pay sums or
21 perform acts or services is covered unless
22 explicitly provided for under Supplementary
23 Payments –Coverages **A** and **B**.

24 CG 00 01 10 01

1 4.26 The Kinsale Policy contains the following Definitions:

2 3. "Bodily injury" means bodily injury, sickness or disease
3 sustained by a person, including death resulting from
4 any of these at any time.

5 4. "Coverage territory" means:
6 a. The United States of America (including its
7 territories and possessions), Puerto Rico and
8 Canada;

1 **b.** International waters or airspace, but only if the
2 injury or damage occurs in the course of travel or
3 transportation between any places included in a.
4 above; or
5 **c.** All other parts of the world if the injury or damage
6 arises out of:
7 **(1)** Goods or products made or sold by you in the
8 territory described in a. above;
9 **(2)** The activities of a person whose home is in
10 the territory described in a. above, but is away
11 for a short time on your business; or
12 **(3)** "Personal and advertising injury" offenses
13 that take place through the Internet or similar
14 electronic means of communication provided
15 the insured's responsibility to pay damages is
16 determined in a "suit" on the merits, in the
17 territory described in a. above or in a
18 settlement we agree to.

19 (...)

20 **13.** "Occurrence" means an accident, including continuous
21 or repeated exposure to substantially the same general
22 harmful conditions.

23 (...)

24 **17.** "Property damage" means:

1 **a.** Physical injury to tangible property, including all
2 resulting loss of use of that property. All such loss of
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

1 use shall be deemed to occur at the time of the
2 physical injury that caused it; or

3 **b.** Loss of use of tangible property that is not physically
4 injured. All such loss of use shall be deemed to occur
5 at the time of the "occurrence" that caused it.

6 **18.** "Suit" means a civil proceeding in which damages
7 because of "bodily injury", "property damage" or "personal
8 and advertising injury" to which this insurance
9 applies are alleged. "Suit" includes:

10 **a.** An arbitration proceeding in which such damages
11 are claimed and to which the insured must submit
12 or does submit with our consent; or
13 **b.** Any other alternative dispute resolution proceeding
14 in which such damages are claimed and to which the
15 insured submits with our consent.

16 CG 00 01 10 01

17 4.27 The Kinsale Policy contains the following exclusion pertaining to expected or
18 intended injuries:

19 **2. Exclusions**

20 This insurance does not apply to:

21 **a. Expected Or Intended Injury**

22 "Bodily injury" or "property damage" expected or intended
23 from the standpoint of the insured.

24 CG 00 01 10 01 (modified by CAS3002 1016)

25 4.28 The Kinsale Policy contains the following exclusion also pertaining to assault and
26 battery:

27 **EXCLUSION - ASSAULT AND BATTERY**

28 (...)

29 **COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY COVERAGE**

1 The following exclusion is added to this policy:
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

This insurance does not apply to any claim or "suit" for "bodily injury", "property damage" or "personal and advertising injury" arising out of, related to, or, in any way involving any actual or alleged assault, battery, harmful or offensive contact, or threat, whether provoked or unprovoked. This exclusion includes but is not limited to:

1. The prevention or suppression, or the failure to suppress or prevent any assault, battery, harmful or offensive contact, or threat;
4. Injury or damage committed while using reasonable force to protect persons or property or acting in self-defense;
8. Any assault, battery, harmful or offensive contact, or threat whether caused by, or at the instigation, instruction, direction or due to the negligence of the insured, the insured's employees, agents, patrons, customers or any other person arising from any causes whatsoever; or
9. The negligent hiring, employment, training, supervision, or retention of any employee or agent of any insured with respect to items 1. through 8. above.

This exclusion applies regardless of fault or intent and regardless of the particular cause of action.

This exclusion applies to any claim or "suit" regardless of whether assault, battery, harmful or offensive contact, or threat is the initial precipitating cause or is in any way a cause, and regardless of whether any other actual or alleged cause contributed concurrently, proximately, or in any sequence, including whether any actual or alleged "bodily injury", "property damage" or "personal and advertising injury" arises out of a chain of events that includes any assault, battery, harmful or offensive conduct.

For purposes of this endorsement, **COVERAGE A BODILY INJURY AND PROPERTY DAMAGE LIABILITY, 2. Exclusions**, item

1 a. **Expected or Intended Injury** is deleted and replaced with the
2 following:

3 “Bodily injury” or “property damage” expected or intended from the
4 standpoint of the insured.

5 CAS3002 1016

6 **V. THERE ARE ACTUAL AND JUSTICIALE CONTROVERSIES AS TO
KINSALE'S COVERAGE OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE KINSALE POLICY**

7 5.1 There is no coverage available to Oculus One, Evergreen Market, Mr. Annu-El,
8 Mr. Ervin, Doe Corporations 1-10, or Pat Does 1-5 for any claims arising out of the Underlying
9 Incident.

10 5.2 The Kinsale Policy provides coverage for those sums that the insured becomes
11 legally obligated to pay as damages because of "bodily injury" or "property damage" as those
12 terms are defined in the Kinsale Policy, and to which the Kinsale Policy applies.

13 5.3 There is an actual and justiciable controversy as to whether the claims for damages
14 made by Oculus One constitute "bodily injury" as defined by the Kinsale Policy.

15 5.4 There is an actual and justiciable controversy as to whether the claims for damages
16 made by Oculus One constitute "property damage" as defined by the Kinsale Policy.

17 5.5 The Kinsale Policy applies to "bodily injury" and "property damage" only if the
18 "bodily injury" or "property damage" is caused by an "occurrence" that takes place in the
19 "coverage territory."

20 5.6 There is an actual and justiciable controversy as to whether Underlying Incident
21 which gave rise to the claims for damages made by Oculus One arose from an "occurrence" as
22 defined by the Kinsale Policy.

1 5.7 The Kinsale Policy specifically excludes coverage for ““bodily injury” or ‘property
2 damage’ expected or intended from the standpoint of the insured.”

3 5.8 There is an actual and justiciable controversy as to whether the “bodily injury”
4 alleged by Mr. Annu-El was “expected or intended” from the standpoint of Oculus One and its
5 employee, Mr. Ervin.

6 5.9 The Kinsale Policy specifically excludes “any claim or “suit” for “bodily injury”
7 arising out of “the prevention or suppression, or the failure to suppress or prevent any assault,
8 battery, harmful or offensive contact, or threat.”

9 5.10 There is an actual and justiciable controversy as to whether the “bodily injury”
10 alleged by Mr. Annu-El arose out of “the prevention or suppression, or the failure to suppress or
11 prevent any assault, battery, harmful or offensive contact, or threat.”

12 5.11 The Kinsale Policy specifically excludes “any claim or ‘suit’ for ‘bodily injury’...,
13 arising out of, related to, or, in any way involving any actual or alleged assault, battery, harmful
14 or offensive contact, or threat, whether provoked or unprovoked,” including “injury or damage
15 committed while using reasonable force to protect persons or property or acting in self-defense.”

16 5.12 There is an actual and justiciable controversy as to whether the “bodily injury”
17 alleged by Mr. Annu-El constituted injury or damage committed while Oculus One’s employee
18 Mr. Ervin was using reasonable force to protect persons or property or acting in self-defense.

19 5.13 The Kinsale Policy specifically excludes “any claim or “suit” for “bodily injury”...,
20 arising out of, related to, or, in any way involving any actual or alleged assault, battery, harmful
21 or offensive contact, or threat, whether provoked or unprovoked,” including such arising out of
22 “Any assault, battery, harmful or offensive contact, or threat whether caused by, or at the

1 instigation, instruction, direction or due to the negligence of the insured, the insured's employees,
2 agents, patrons, customers or any other person arising from any causes whatsoever."

3 5.14 There is an actual and justiciable controversy as to whether the “bodily injury”
4 alleged by Mr. Annu-El was caused by, or at the instigation, instruction, direction or due to the
5 negligence of the Oculus One, Oculus One’s employees, agents, patrons, customers, or any other
6 person arising from any causes whatsoever, specifically including Mr. Ervin.

7 5.15 The Kinsale Policy specifically excludes “any claim or ‘suit’ for ‘bodily injury’...,
8 arising out of, related to, or, in any way involving any actual or alleged assault, battery, harmful
9 or offensive contact, or threat, whether provoked or unprovoked.” arising out of “the negligent
10 hiring, employment, training, supervision, or retention of any employee or agent of any insured.”

11 5.16 There is an actual and justiciable controversy as to whether the “bodily injury”
12 alleged by Mr. Annu-El arose out of the negligent hiring, employment, training, supervision, or
13 retention of any employee or agent of Oculus One, specifically including Mr. Ervin.

14 5.17 In addition to the provisions cited above, Kinsale pleads all other conditions, terms,
15 provisions, limitations, definitions, and exclusions of the Kinsale Policy which may be found to
16 be applicable to Kinsale’s investigation and defense of these claims, and Kinsale reserves the right
17 to amend its Complaint for Declaratory Judgment as additional and/or more specific information
18 becomes available.

VI. CLAIM FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

20 6.1 Kinsale incorporates by reference and re-alleges paragraphs 1.1 through 5.17 above
21 as if fully set forth herein.

22 6.2 Actual and justiciable controversies exist as to whether Kinsale owes any defense
23 to Oculus One under the Kinsale Policy for any claims arising out of the Underlying Incident.

6.3 Kinsale requests that this Court grant declaratory relief in favor of Kinsale and enter a judicial determination that Kinsale does not have an obligation to defend Oculus One under the Kinsale Policy for any claims arising out of the Underlying Incident.

6.4 Actual and justiciable controversies exist as to whether Kinsale owes any indemnity to Oculus One under the Kinsale Policy for any claims arising out of the Underlying Incident.

6.5 Kinsale requests that this Court grant declaratory relief in favor of Kinsale and enter a judicial determination that Kinsale does not have an obligation to indemnify Oculus One under the Kinsale Policy for any claims arising out of the Underlying Incident.

VII. RESERVATIONS

7.1 Kinsale reserves the right to amend this Complaint to include any other coverage defenses that may become evident during discovery, or which are otherwise currently unknown or unknowable on Kinsale' part.

7.2 Kinsale further reserves the right to amend this Complaint to include such other coverage defenses that may arise due to future conduct of any parties or third parties hereto.

VIII. CLAIM FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

8.1 Pursuant to 28. U.S.C §§ 2201 and 2202, Kinsale seeks a judicial declaration of its rights and duties under the Kinsale Policy.

8.2 Kinsale is entitled to a Declaratory Judgment in its favor, specifically including a judicial determination that it does not owe any defense or indemnity coverage to Oculus One for claims asserted against them by Zebelum Annu-El in connection with the Underlying Incident.

IX. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

Kinsale, having specifically alleged the foregoing, now prays for the following relief:

9.1 For a declaration of the rights and obligations of the parties under the Kinsale Policy.

9.2 For a declaration that Kinsale does not have a duty to defend Oculus One under the Kinsale Policy for any claims arising out of the Underlying Incident.

9.3 For a declaration that Kinsale does not have a duty to indemnify Oculus One under the Kinsale Policy for any claims arising out of the Underlying Incident.

9.4 For all pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as allowed by applicable statute and law.

9.5 For all attorney fees and costs allowed by applicable statute and law.

9.6 For any other and further relief as this honorable Court deems just and equitable.

DATED this 26th day of August 2022.

LEATHER LAW GROUP

/s/Thomas Lether
Thomas Lether, WSBA #18089
1848 Westlake Ave N., Suite 100
Seattle, WA 98109
P: 206-467-5444 F: 206-467-5544
tlether@letherlaw.com
Attorney for Kinsale Insurance Company